



Lisa Page
Planning and Building Control
Hertsmere Borough Council
Civic Offices, Elstree Way
Borehamwood
Herts. WD6 1WA

Our Ref:

Your Ref:

7th January 2026 (by email)

Dear Lisa Page

Planning application no. 25/1615/FUL

Land to the Southwest of Vale Avenue, Borehamwood

Full planning application for the development of the site to provide 98 homes within a mix of houses and apartment buildings up to three storeys high, together with vehicle parking, bicycle parking, refuse provision, new substation, communal amenity space, and landscaping

I write with regard to the above planning application to which CPRE Hertfordshire objects for the following reasons.

1. The land identified for this proposed development is designated as London Metropolitan Green Belt in the adopted Hertsmere Core Strategy where development is stated as being inappropriate unless very special circumstances are identified which clearly outweigh the harms caused, according to criteria in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). The site is not allocated for housing and it is not appropriate in our view for such a significant allocation in the Green Belt to be determined outside the Local Plan process, particularly where the Council is in the process of preparing a Local Plan as in this case.
2. This application is especially significant as the site was previously registered as the Woodcock Hill Village Green and subject to a successful de-registration application by the developer, which was subject to a hard-fought planning appeal inquiry. Whereas the site cannot be considered as open countryside it performs a crucial function as a precious semi-wild open space for local residents.
3. CPRE Hertfordshire was represented at the planning appeal inquiry for the de-registration application and Counsel for the applicants assured the Inspector that there was no connection between the de-registration application and any plans for development of the site. It is clear that it was always the intention of Taylor Wimpey to develop the site and



this application confirms our original concerns which were identified in detail to the Inspector.

4. To suggest, in the Town Planning Statement, that the site should now be regarded as grey belt as introduced by the revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) is entirely inappropriate due its particular nature and function with regard to the surrounding local community. The unique nature of the site and the scarcity of publicly accessible open space in the Borehamwood area together with the long-term involvement of the local community in its care and maintenance should mean that any application should be considered most carefully.
5. The proposal of a mundane residential estate effectively covering the whole site is disappointing and not worthy of the previous status of the village green and its historic community functions. In the event that planning permission is granted, much more attention should be paid to the previous status of the site and the paucity of open space in the area.
6. Significant local community opposition continues both generally to development in the Green Belt and this specific application. The proposed development would clearly constitute a breach of the first and third purposes of the Green Belt as identified in the NPPF (paragraph 143) to prevent urban sprawl and encroachment onto open countryside.
7. We entirely refute the assertion that the first purpose of the Green Belt has no relevance in this case and that this site constitutes grey belt under the present legal definitions. In the case of the London Metropolitan Green Belt, the first purpose of the Green Belt refers as much to the effect of the urban sprawl emanating from the growth of the capital as the major development generator in this and the surrounding areas, as to the sprawl of other major settlements.
8. The proposed development is clearly an extension to the town of Borehamwood which the Green Belt legislation was designed to prevent. Arguments relating to the inconsequential impact of each individual application on the Green Belt as a whole become seriously inappropriate when the cumulative effect of several applications is considered, as is occurring in Hertfordshire.
9. It is noteworthy that virtually every major planning application for residential and commercial development within the Green Belt in Hertfordshire made since 12th December 2024 has identified the site as grey belt, notwithstanding the quality and character of the landscape or local conditions in each case. This is clearly an unsustainable position and it is becoming increasingly clear that the existing definitions and guidance on grey belt are



entirely inadequate to prevent the wholesale removal of highly valued and significant Green Belt protections.

10. It is undeniable that the new planning policy context created by the 2024 revised NPPF has provoked a disturbingly high number of new applications and re-applications for sites which were previously refused permission. Whereas a proportion of such applications may warrant consideration for various reasons, the illogicality of the revised NPPF supporting five purposes of the Green Belt (para 143) and then only permitting three purposes to be considered in applications (NPPF Glossary definition) is unsupportable in this case.
11. A further concern relates to the proposed provision of low-cost housing and we note the intention to provide 50% affordable housing. Given the inadequacy of the official definition to reflect the true state of the housing market in Hertfordshire this is essentially meaningless without commitments to legally binding agreements between appropriate institutions involved in the provision of truly affordable low-cost housing.
12. Affordability for average earning households is generally unattainable in the County and there is significant experience in Hertfordshire and elsewhere of initial commitments to affordable housing not being maintained. This is generally due to questionable viability issues and at the very least the Council should seek robust guarantees regarding affordable housing proposals.
13. Hertfordshire is under unrelenting pressure for development and the value of the open countryside that remains increases for local communities and visitors, notwithstanding wider environmental benefits relating to the amelioration of the effects of climate change, maintenance and enhancement of biodiversity, and physical and mental health.

This application is not supported through the Local Plan process and we urge the Council to refuse permission for this inappropriate speculative development.

Yours sincerely,

Chris Berry
Planning Manager

NOTE: We would request that this letter is published on the Council's website as a document relating to this application and should be grateful if you would notify us of the date of the appropriate meeting if it is to be determined by planning committee.