



Miranda Knight  
Planning and Building Control  
St. Albans City and District Council  
Civic Centre, St. Peter's Street  
St. Albans  
Herts AL1 3JE

Our Ref:

Your Ref:

16th August 2023 (by email)

Dear Miranda Knight,

**Application no 5/2023/1300**

**52 and Land rear of 28-74 Ragged Hall Lane, Chiswell Green**

**Outline application (access sought only) - Construction of up to 53 dwellings with associated green infrastructure, drainage, all ancillary works and new junction off Ragged Hall Lane following demolition of 52 Ragged Hall Lane**

I write with regard to the above proposed development of up to 53 dwellings, to which CPRE Hertfordshire objects strongly for the following reasons.

1. The site lies within the London Metropolitan Green Belt as defined in the adopted St Albans Local Plan Review which proscribes inappropriate development according to criteria indicated in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) unless very special circumstances are demonstrated. The Applicant's consultants in their Planning Statement accept that very special circumstances are required to be demonstrated and seek to justify the proposal primarily on the basis of the failure of the Local Plan to plan for required market and affordable housing.
2. No other very special circumstances are identified which relate to the conditions pertaining to this site. Proposed benefits with regard to environmental and related matters are no more than would be expected and provided as part of any development of this size.
3. The Applicant's Planning Statement includes commentary on the pre-application advice received from the Council. CPRE Hertfordshire notes and supports the assessment of the Officer with regard to the advice provided which identifies the inappropriateness of the proposals.
4. The application is one of several recent significant proposals for residential development in the Green Belt (nearly 40 applications in Hertfordshire alone since 1<sup>st</sup> January 2022) which constitute a wholesale challenge by development interests to this highly valued land designation. This proposal for up to 53 units constitutes a clear encroachment into open

countryside outside the Chiswell Green Village boundary with very significant impacts on its openness and character in this location.

5. It is an inappropriate extension of the village and constitutes development of the type which the Green Belt designation exists to prevent. The Government's position is evolving with regard to protection of the Green Belt in response to local community and local planning authority concerns.

6. Consultation responses to the recently published National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) Prospectus are being assessed and it is anticipated that amendments to the NPPF will be issued imminently. These are likely to affect the content of housing need calculations and lead to the more accurate assessment of housing need and consideration of local conditions.

7. The NPPF amendments include proposals to give the Standard Method for calculating housing advisory as opposed to mandatory status, and include the influence of local and other characteristics where justified. In several previous representations and at planning inquiries, CPRE Hertfordshire has regularly identified the implications of using out-of-date Census figures which augment future housing numbers inappropriately.

8. In addition, recent Ministerial statements, which have policy weight, have reiterated the Government's strong support for protection of the Green Belt and the recently published "Levelling Up and Regeneration Bill Policy Paper: Further information" also re-emphasises the Government's support for protection of the Green Belt as follows:

"the increased weight given to plans and national policy by the Bill will give more assurances that areas of environmental importance – such as National Parks, Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty...- will be respected in decision on planning applications and appeals. The same is true of Green Belt, which will continue to be safeguarded." (Creating beautiful places and improving environmental outcomes; In the Bill: LURB Policy paper, May 2022)"

9. Further,

"Existing Green Belt protections will remain, and we will pursue options to make the Green Belt even greener." (Creating beautiful places and improving environmental outcomes; Alongside the Bill: LURB Policy paper, May 2022)

10. Most notably, the Prime Minister, in a Parliamentary response to Sir Mike Penning, MP for an adjacent constituency to St Albans, said on 25<sup>th</sup> January 2023:

"He is also right to say that this Government will always protect our precious green spaces. The recent changes in our planning reforms will ensure that we can protect the green belt everywhere."



11. With regard to the status of the St Albans Draft Local Plan, presently subject to Regulation 18 consultation, similar consultations for neighbouring authorities' draft Local Plans, notably Three Rivers, Hertsmere and Dacorum Councils, have elicited record-breaking numbers of public responses opposing the allocation of Green Belt sites for development and have caused each of those authorities to pause their plan preparation process to take account of local concerns.

12. We note that the application site is not proposed for allocation in the St Albans Regulation 18 Draft Local Plan and in our view it would be highly inappropriate to proceed with the granting of planning permission for designated protected land when it is clear that the basis for assessing and calculating housing need is under imminent review. Using up-to-date Census population projections will clearly affect future housing requirements and a responsive local planning authority should take account of both local community and wider responses regarding the increasing value of protected countryside.

13. It is clear that the Government's intention is to retain the Green Belt in its present form and the constant attempts to undermine Green Belt protections for residential developments are in danger of bringing the planning system into disrepute. CPRE Hertfordshire urges the Council to refuse permission for this highly speculative residential development.

Yours sincerely,

Chris Berry  
Planning Manager

NOTE: We would request that this letter is published on the Council's website as a document relating to this application and should be grateful if you would notify us of the date of the appropriate meeting if it is to be determined by planning committee.